Modern Trends in Mathematical Physics. III (for pedestrians ... cyclists and drivers)

Andrei Marshakov

Center for Advanced Studies, Skoltech

Moscow International School of Physics 2022

JINR, Dubna, July 2022

A D F A A F F A

Complex curves

Riemann surface $(g = 3) \Sigma$:



Lattice of charges \Leftrightarrow $H_1(\Sigma)$ with symplectic $\langle, \rangle, \langle A_i, B_i \rangle = \delta_{ii}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Period matrices

Computation of elliptic integrals $\oint \lambda \frac{dw}{w}$ or $\oint \frac{dw}{w\lambda}$ on Σ : $w + \frac{\Lambda^4}{w} = \lambda^2 - U$

At
$$\Lambda \to 0$$
, for $U = a^2$
 $w = \lambda^2 - a^2$ (1)

so that

$$\oint \lambda \frac{dw}{w} = \oint \lambda d \log \left(\lambda^2 - a^2\right) \sim \begin{cases} a \\ a \log a - a \end{cases}$$

The period "matrix" $\tau \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial a} (a \log a - a) = \log a$, or

$$au \sim \int_{-a}^{a} d \log \left(\lambda^2 - a^2 \right) \sim \log a$$
 (2)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• To be identified with complexified gauge coupling $\tau \sim \frac{\vartheta}{2\pi} + i \frac{4\pi^2}{g^2}$;

• Any parallels with QFT?

Supersymmetric gauge theory

4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory:

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \frac{1}{g_{0}^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}^{2} + |D_{\mu}\Phi|^{2} + [\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}]^{2} + \operatorname{fermions} \right) + \frac{\vartheta_{0}}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}$$
(3)

- Higgs condensate $\langle \Phi \rangle$ breaks gauge group to Abelian;
- Effective $U(1)^{\operatorname{rank} G}$ Abelian theory in IR;
- *Moduli space* of the Coulomb branch: $u \sim \langle Tr \Phi^2 \rangle$, generally

$$P(\lambda; \vec{u}) = \langle \det(\lambda - \Phi) \rangle \tag{4}$$

A B A B A B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

• 'Light' *u*-dependent BPS-spectrum ...



 $\int \Phi \Phi^{\dagger}$



Supersymmetry and loop corrections

• Gauge coupling $\frac{1}{g^2} \sim \beta \log \frac{\sqrt{|u|}}{\Lambda}$: exact 1-loop RG formula;

• $\beta = 2N - N_f \ge 0$... UV completion (?!);



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

Obstruction: at $|u|<\Lambda^2$ e.g. one gets $\frac{1}{g^2}\sim \log \frac{\sqrt{|u|}}{\Lambda}<0$

- Perturbative contributions do not saturate results at strong-coupling;
- Smth else: e.g. instanton contributions ...

Instead ... $\frac{1}{g^2} \sim \text{Im}\tau(\geq 0)$ solves the problem ... together with exact formulas for the period integrals

$$\gamma \in H_1(\Sigma) \mapsto a_{\gamma}(u) = \oint_{\gamma} \lambda \frac{dw}{w}$$

Already checked that at weak coupling: $a(u) \sim \sqrt{u}$ and $a_D(u) \sim \sqrt{u} \log u \sim \frac{a}{g^2} \dots$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

SW theory: strong coupling

Classically at u=0 non-Abelian symmetry restores, but quasi-classical analysis works only at $u\gg \Lambda^2$...

Quantum moduli space for G = SU(2)



- non-Abelian symmetry never restores;
- Instead at $a_D = 0$ and $a + a_D = 0 \Sigma$ degenerates: EM-dual Abelian theory;
- Effective couplings in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ special Kähler geometry: holomorphic prepotential $T_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_i \partial a_j}$ (action $\text{Im} \int d^4 \theta \mathcal{F}(\Phi)$).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Consistency!
- Supported by instanton computations, though:
 - Long story, many attemps through 90-s;
 - Example with finite perturbative renormalization in SU(2) $N_f = 4$ theory;
 - Success with deformation: IR regularization consistent with SUSY, $\Omega(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ -background ...
- New formulas valid for:
 - 2d conformal field theory;
 - Solutions of Painlevé equations (isomonodromic deformations).
- Continues beyond validity range of standard QFT methods ...
- 2d CFT and ... differential equations ...

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Prepotential expansions

Weak coupling:

$$\mathcal{F}(a) \xrightarrow[a \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2} a^2 \log \frac{a}{\Lambda} + a^2 \sum_{k>0} f_k \left(\frac{\Lambda}{a}\right)^{4k}$$

• Logarithm from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ one loop;

Expansion over instantons of charge k, in powers of Λ^β = Λ^{2N} = Λ⁴: a way to compute {f_k} – instanton calculus ...

Strong coupling (monopole point $a_D \rightarrow 0),$ for $\mathcal{F}_D = a a_D - \mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{F}_{D}(a_{D}) \xrightarrow[a_{D} \to 0]{} -\frac{1}{2}a_{D}^{2}\log\frac{a_{D}}{\Lambda} - 8\Lambda a_{D} + a_{D}^{2}\sum_{k>0}f_{k}^{D}\left(\frac{a_{D}}{\Lambda}\right)^{k}$$

Different powers: no instantons in monopole theory! No way to compute $\{f_k^D\}$ other, than to solve a Painlevé equation ...

イロト イヨト イヨト

SU(2)/Painlevé

- Deautonomization: integrable (or isospectral) \Rightarrow isomonodromic system;
- 'SW Toda' (physical pendulum) \Rightarrow Painlevé III

$$\frac{d^2q}{d\tau^2} + e^{2\tau} \sinh q = 0 \tag{5}$$

• In conventional "isomonodromic" variables $(t \sim \Lambda^4, \ w \sim \sqrt{t} e^q)$

$$H(w,w';t) = \frac{tw'^2}{4w^2} + \frac{w}{t} + \frac{1}{w} = \partial_t \log \mathcal{T}(t), \tag{6}$$

and $(w_1 = t/w)$

$$w(t)^{-1} = \partial_t t \partial_t \log \mathcal{T}(t) = -t^{1/2} \frac{\mathcal{T}_1(t)^2}{\mathcal{T}(t)^2}$$
(7)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Tau-functions

The isomonodromic tau functions $(\epsilon=\emptyset,1)$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\epsilon}(t; \boldsymbol{a}, \eta) = \sum_{t \to 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \epsilon/2} e^{4\pi i n \eta} t^{(\boldsymbol{a}+n)^2} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{a}+n, t)}{G(1+2(\boldsymbol{a}+n))G(1-2(\boldsymbol{a}+n))}$$
(8)

expressed through partition functions (of deformed) SU(2) gauge theory.

- $t \sim \Lambda^4$, (a, η) are two yet *independent* integration constants, t^{a^2} classical part ;
- Barnes G-functions $G(a+1) = \Gamma(a)G(a) \underset{a \to \infty}{\sim} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}a^2 \log a\right);$

•
$$\mathcal{B}(a,t) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} t^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} \left(\frac{a+\dots}{a+\dots}\right)$$
: Nekrasov instanton partition function,
 $|\lambda| + |\mu| = k;$

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

1+ #++.t2

- Analytic properties of the Painlevé solutions contain important information about non-perturbative SYM: Already $t \sim \Lambda^4$ gives 4 = 2N pure SU(2) beta-function ...
- Expansion in $t = \Lambda^4$ at $t \to 0$ and in $t^{-1/4} = \Lambda^{-1}$ at $t \to \infty$;
- Non-autonomous Toda equation

$$\partial_t t \partial_t \log \mathcal{T}(t) = -t^{1/2} \frac{\mathcal{T}_1(t)^2}{\mathcal{T}(t)^2}$$
 (9)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

an analog of $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau^2} = \exp \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial a^2}$.

• 'Gravitational flows': the Nakajima-Yoshioka *blow-up equations* from simple analysis.

- Simple combinatorial objects: vertices with arrows;
- Consistent pictures for gauge theories, generally with bi-fundamentals (Standard Model?);
- More applications: symplectic or Poisson structures
 - Lattices of BPS-charges in supersymmetric theories;
 - Extended phase spaces of integrable systems.

'5d' or relativistic 15 on Poisson churter varieties

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Application: Painlevé Newton Polygons

with a single internal point and $3 \le B \le 9$ boundary points:



Here Σ : $f_{\Delta}(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{(a,b) \in \Delta} \lambda^a \mu^b f_{a,b} = 0$ is torus with g = 1.

Image: A math a math

Application: Painlevé quivers



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ



by (surface type)/(symmetry group)

- $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}} \supset \widehat{W}(E^{(1)}_{\#});$
- $\widehat{W}(E_0^{(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z};$
- From $E_1^{(1)} = A_1^{(1)}$ till $E_5^{(1)} = D_5^{(1)}$ q-Painlevé with well-defined 4d limit (from PIII to PVI);
- Higher $E_7^{(1)}$ and $E_8^{(1)}$ do not have corresponding (naive) g = 1 triangles.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

- Well-defined solutions exist for $N_f \leq 2N(=4)$): restriction from 4d β -function (IR!);
- q-Painlevé systems themselves exist for $N_f \leq 7$, when ... 5d theory (in UV!) can be defined (Seiberg, 1995);
- $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}} \supset \widehat{W} \supset W$ extends to global symmetry of 5d theory in UV (?!);

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨ